An Analysis of Causality and Cointegration among Nifty Bank Index, Public and Private Sector Banks Share Prices of India.

1.Dr. Santoshkumar, Jaipuria School of Business, Ghaziabad

2 Dr.Lalit Kumar Sharma, Jaipuria School of Business, Ghaziabad

3 Dr.Rajender Kumar, Professor, Department of Commerce, Rajdhani College, DU

Abstract

The current paper examines the long run and short run relationship between the bank nifty and other public and private sector banks listed on NSE. market and banking sector. Bank nifty is a major index on NSE. The data was collected from the official website of National Stock Exchange and invtesing.com. The nature of data used for the purpose is secondary. An empirical analysis using everyday data is conducted for 730 days, starting from 2nd January 2017 to 31st December 2019. Descriptive statistics, Unit root Test, Johansen Cointegration test, Granger Causality Test, Cointegration analysis and Impulse Response function are applied as major methodologies for analysis. Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests is applied to examine stationarity of all the variables, it was found that all the variable series were non stationary. JohnsenCointegration analysis shows that there is no long-term relationship among the variables. Granger Causality /Block Exogeneity test is used to identify the short run relationship and found RET BANK NIFTY, RET HDFC, RET KOTAK and RET ICICI have short run relationship. Impulse response function was also used to check the impact of one shock on another variables. Keywords---- Bank Nifty, Johansen Cointegration, Granger Causality, VAR, Impulse Response.

Introduction

Capital market provides various platform for corporates' and individual investors to raise and invest through alternative instruments. The capital market has majorly two components namely

equity share market and debt market. National Stock Exchange (NSE) and Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) dominate Indian equity share market with more than 99% of total trade volumes. NSE was established in 1994, as the first screen based electronic exchange in the country. It is the second largest stock exchange of the world in term of turnover and number of trades in equity shares. The index of NSE is Nifty 50, in which it includes 50 shares large cap companies of different sectors. The index of BSE is Sensex 30, which consist 30 leading scrips of all the sectors.

In NSE, right now there are 11 sectors as Nifty Bank, Nifty Auto, Nifty Fin Services, Nifty FMCG, Nifty IT, Nifty Metal, Nifty Media, Nifty Pharma, Nifty PSU Banks, Nifty Private Banks, and Nifty Realty. These sectoral indices consist of key companies of that field with large market capitalization. In this paper we have considered only Bank nifty index. Bank nifty index is the combination of 12 banks with 3 public sector banks and 9 private sector banks.

Indian banking industry is the backbone of the country's economy. Banking industry has significant role in industrial growth as well as country's economic growth. Mostly public and private sector banks are listed on NSE, BSE and MSE. Investors invest their hard-earned money in these stocks, hoping to get higher return with minimum risk. The risk and return are the two faces of the Investment coin. Earlier this sector was known as a reliable sector for all the traders and investors. Presently, banking sector is facing problem of high NPA, which is higher in public sector banks comparatively. A lot of frauds and frauds have been disclosed in banks, resulting reduction in its profits. Share prices of these shares are also fluctuating at a very high rate. So, this sector is losing reliability among its investors. People are getting a low return from investing in this sector, and sometimes even they are losing their principal amount. Investment in the stocks of banking sector has been risky.

At present, in bank nifty, following banks are included, RBL Bank, ICICI Bank, Yes bank, Axis bank, Federal bank, PNB, SBIN, Kotak bank, IndusInd Bank, HDFC Bank, Bank of Baroda and IDFC First Bank. The changes in share price of these public and private banks also fluctuate to bank nifty. In this paper, we have checked the long run and short run relationship among the

variables. we have not included IDFC First Bank in our research, because after including it, there was a problem in finding optimum lag length.

Literature Review:

Equity market of Pakistan cointegration with China, India, Taiwan, Singapore, Janpan, UK, Indonesia and USA were analysed using the monthly data of the market indices. The result indicated that the Pakistan equity market has no significant association with USA, Taiwan, UK, Singapore and Malaysia(Butt & Ur Rehman, 2011).Whereas the equity market of Pakistan showed a significant association with equity market of countries like Indonesia, Japan , India and china. Hence it was inferred that the international portfolio diversification for risk minimization is minimum in equity markets of countries with significant association.

The interrelation between developed countries stock market investigation was conducted by using data from Germany, Britain, Turkey, France and USA. The vector auto regression for dynamic conditional correlations under multivariate generalized auto regressive conditional heteroskedasticity analysis revealed that the agreement of custom union varied in the pre and post agreement periods(Ta_sstan, 2005).

The short-run and long-run dynamics among the major sectoral stock records of the Istanbul Stock Exchange was examined using techniques suggested by Engle and Granger (1987),Cointegration tests, Johansen and Juselius (1990) and causality by Vector Error Correction Model (VECM). Change deterioration was examined to segment the fluctuation of the conjecture blunder of one area file into extents owing which discovered that all areas showed consistent and solid proof short run and long run relationship. In total, 63 percent in the gap between chemical, petroleum and plastic, 57 percent of returns from basic metals and 79 percent of the returns from the Financing and Investment institutions inferred that the banking sector in the most promising for investment in ISE(Vardar et al., n.d.).

Adding to the pitiful distributed writing on interrelationships among securities exchange areas of an economy, the current investigation inspected both the long-run and short-run aspects between sectoral linkages in the Egyptian securities exchange. The multivariate Cointegration examination reported proof on the side of presence of just a solitary Cointegration vector inside the sectoral lists. In addition, the consequences of Granger's causality examination showed that

the short-run causal connections between the sectoral records are extensively restricted and, where they exist, basically unidirectional. These outcomes conclude that there was space to get profits by portfolio expansion in the short run. In any case, financial specialists with long run may not profit by broadening interests into the various areas of the Egyptian securities exchange (Ahmed, 2011).

The association between Indian stock exchange BSE major index, Sensex, and five macroeconomic variable indicators viz., Index of industrial production, index of wholesale price, supply of money, short term government securities (T-Bill) interest rate and foreign exchange rates were examined using Johansen's co-coordination and vector error correction model. The investigation uncovered that macroeconomic factors and the Sensex had long term association. The conversion standard and the momentary financing cost were discovered to be inconsequential in deciding stock costs. The macro economic factors Granger causes stock price in long term period but not in short term period. Between the production index and stock index, there was a two directional causality, whereas supply of money to stock index, stock index to production index, T-bill interest rates and foreign exchange rates showed one directional granger causality(Pramod Kumar & Puja, 2012).

The current examination investigated the dynamic impacts of Exchange rates on BSE Sensex return utilized factual strategies, ADF Test, Correlation, OLS Regression, Cointegration Test, Granger Causality Test, VAR model and GARCH. The examination result found that trade rates were negatively corresponded on BSE Sensex return. The outcomes showed the presence of co-development connection between trade rates and BSE Sensex return. VAR results showed that there was short run impact and GARCH results uncovered that trade rates were altogether unpredictable on BSE Sensex return. The outcomes inferred that trade rates had a critical effect on BSE Sensex return and there was presence of since quite a while ago run connection between trade rates and BSE Sensex recurns return to speculator's locale should mindful about the developments of trade rates; especially in USD/INRs on the grounds that it has profoundly communication with Indian securities exchange and it might diminish the danger from the high variance of trade rates (Baranidharan, n.d.).

The investigation of long-term and short-term relationship among USD/INR, gold costs and unrefined petroleum costs proposed that there is no long run relationship between the factors.

The long run relationship was examined utilizing Johansen Cointegration test. The investigation additionally analysed the short-run relationship utilizing test of Granger causality and VAR. The outcomes uncovered there was two-directional Granger causality between raw petroleum and USD/INR conversion standard, though unidirectional Granger causality was found between raw petroleum to gold price(Lodha, 2017).

The level of vulnerability in financial exchange is high on account of agriculturally based Indian and similar economies. Investigation of causal relationship of gold, unrefined petroleum, and USD rates with securities exchange records in India was analysed with the assistance of econometric instruments viz. Unit root test by Enlarged Dickey-Fuller, Johansen Cointegration Test, Granger Causality Tests, VAR Model, test of Variance Decomposition, and Impulse reactions investigation. The outcome showed that there is a high imprint in Indian financial exchange because of the instability occurring in the portrayed macroeconomic elements (Suresh Gopal, n.d.).

The relationship between the rate of returns of securities exchange in Nigeria and world unrefined petroleum cost investigated under the Cointegration and vector blunder amendment (VECM) system showed that rate of return of securities exchange in Nigeria and crude oil cost were integrated in long term period as foreseen given the strength of crude oil sector in Nigeria. It was also discovered that it might be a sign of the nation's inability to interpret its enormous unfamiliar trade income from oil into an improved modern area efficiency. It was suggested that the most practical arrangement towards improved monetary execution lied in refining the Nigerian raw petroleum locally so the enormous advantages of the normally invested oil can be acknowledged rather for the advancement of the economies of different countries (Asaolu&Ilo, 2012).

The present and long run relationship among BSE 500, BSE 200 and BSE 100 Indices of BSE and petroleum prices utilizing different econometric strategies was examined to cover the there was a co-incorporated long-term connection between three lists and rough cost. Granger causality results uncover that there was one way causality relationship from all list of the financial exchange to unrefined cost, however rough cost was not the causal of every one of the three lists(Bhunia, 2012).

Crude oil price and BSE Sensex from 2007 to 2015 was investigated to discover the short term and long-term relationship. Utilizing Johansen's Cointegration test, examination found the presence of a long run association among crude oil price and Sensex. Blunder remedy results demonstrated that crude oil price affects the developments in Sensex and stuns that happen to crude oil prices had negative effects on the developments of BSE Sensex. Granger Causality test was used for examining the presence of transient relationships. It is discovered that the one directional causality between petroleum costs to Sensex(Mahadevan&Sriram, 2015).

Objectives of the Study:

- To study the selected variable causality between the Nifty bank index and selected 11 banks share prices of public and private sector.
- (2) To inspect long term cointegration between Nifty bank Index and 11 Indian banks share prices.

Hypothesis of the Study

Ho1: There is no normality in variables.

- Ha1: Normality exists in variables.
- Ho2: Unit Root does not exist among the variables
- Ha2: Unit Root exists among the variables.
- Ho3: There is no Cointegration exists among the variables
- Ha3: There is Cointegration exists among the variables
- Ho4: Variables have no short-term relationship.
- Ha4: Variables have short term relationship.

Research methodology:

The study is conducted over the period of 2 years from 02-01-2017 to 31-12-2019. The total data collected after removing the holidays, the 730 days' data is used for analysis. The data of indices

and stock prices are collected from the public domain of National Stock Exchange from url link <u>https://www.nseindia.com</u>.

11 banking stocks listed on NSE India were selected to study against the Nifty Bank index which were RBL Bank, ICICI Bank, Yes bank, Axis bank, Federal bank, PNB, SBIN, Kotak bank, IndusInd Bank, HDFC Bank, Bank of Baroda and IDFC First Bank.

Statistical tools applied for the present study were Descriptive statistics, Unit Root Test, VAR, Johansen's Cointegration test and impulse diagnostic. E-views was selected as research software for data analysis.

Statistical Tools of Analysis

Stationary test using Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF): The common problem in time series is of not being of stationarity. Stationary of the time series can be presented by following equation:

$$Yt = \rho Yt - 1 + ut - (a)$$

Or
$$Yt = \delta Y t - 1 + ut - (b)$$

In the equation (a), the value of ρ equal to 1 represent that the time series data has unit root and is non stationary and vice versa. Similarly, in equation (b), the value of δ equal to 0 represent that the time series data has unit root and is non stationary and vice versa. The δ is also known as Tau statistic and tested using Monte Carlo simulations, also known as Dickey Fuller (DF) test (Dickey Fuller, 1979, 1981). So as per DF test, if the hypothesis of δ equal to 0, time series is stationary and vice versa. The time series is assumed to be with uncorrelated error term, *ut*. In the case of uncorrelated error term, Dickey and Fuller developed another test, known as Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test.

Vector Auto Regression

VAR model is an advanced model of AR model when the model has more than one variable. A VAR model has more than one dependent variable and thus has more than two Autoregression Distributed Lag equations of all the variables.

$$Yt = \alpha 1 + \delta 1t + \phi 11Yt - 1 + ... + \phi 1pYt - p + \beta 11Xt - 1 + ... + \beta 1qXt - q + \ell 1t \quad ------(c)$$

$$Xt = \alpha 1 + \delta 2t + \varphi 21Yt - 1 + ... + \varphi 2pYt - p + \beta 21Xt - 1 + ... + \beta 2qXt - q + \pounds 2t \quad ------(d)$$

Both the equations (C) and (d) are to test Granger causality of X on Y and Y on X respectively. Combination of both equations are VAR model. The VAR(p) model for a d-dimensional vector Yt is given by:

$$Yt = \alpha + \delta t + \Theta 1Yt - 1 + \dots + \Theta pYt - p + \notin t$$

where Θ_j is a d × d matrix and \in t is a d-dimensional vector of white noise terms with a covariance matrix.

The VAR model can be used to estimate the lag length p with the help of AIC or SIC. Once the lag length is estimated the coefficients is used to forecast the dependent variable.

Cointegration

To discover long-run relationships between the selected variables, Johansen and Juselius's (1990) cointegration technique has been used. When in multivariate time series analysis, some of the variables are stationary and others are non-stationary, the variables need to be differentiated to obtain stationary. When two or more than two-unit root time series exist in a combination of linear equations, the series are called cointegrated (Engle and Granger, 1987). Thus, it can lead to the inference of long-term relationship between the variables. The Johansen cointegrationtest is used to check the presence of long run relation among the study variables if the variables are integrated in the first order difference. Trace Value Test & Maximum Eigen value tests are applied to determine the cointegration rank.

 $\lambda_{trace}(r) = -N\sum_{i=r+1}^{n} ln(1-\lambda)$

 λ_{\max} (r) = - Nlog(1- λ_{r+1})

where, λ_i is largest Eigen value

 λ_{max} is the $(r+1)^{th}$ is the largest squared Eigen value

N is number of observations

Granger Causality Test

Data are basically in the form of cross-sectional or time series. In cross sectional, the regression analysis dealing might result in relationship but will not prove to have causality or influencing direction. But in time series the variables influence need to be checked. The same is checked by F test on the two linear equations for bilateral causality:

 $F = \frac{(\text{RSS}R - \text{RSSUR})/m}{\text{RSSUR}/(n - k)}$

Analysis and Results:

(1) Stationary test by Unit Root Analysis:

Stationary is one of the major assumptions for a time series data to conduct any econometric analysis. Any data which is non stationary can result a false result which is known commonly as spurious regression. Although, there is method of converting the non-stationary data into stationary. The data of time "t" can be deducted with its own previous data "t-1", known as differencing. We calculated unit root at raw data i (0), applying Augmented Dickey – Fuller test. In below mentioned result probability value of all the variables is higher than 0.05 and t-stat value is lesser than all the critical values at 1%, 5%, and 10% level which indicates data is non-stationary.

Augn	nented Dickey-Full	er test	
S.No	Bank	t-Statistic	Prob.*
1	BANKNIFFTY	-1.908492	0.3285
2	HDFCBANK	-1.871382	0.3460
3	AXISBANK	-1.404707	0.5811
4	FEDERALBANK	-2.574305	0.0988
5	ICICIBANK	0.068603	0.9632
6	INDUSIND	-2.771625	0.0629
7	KOTAKBANK	-0.909248	0.7854
8	BANKOFBARODA	-1.674702	0.4438
9	PNB	-1.243286	0.6572
10	RBL	-1.803257	0.3791
11	SBIN	-2.93138	0.0423
12	YES	-0.050879	0.9525
	1% level	-3.438984	
	5% level	-2.86524	
	10% level	-2.568796	

Table. 1(a)

After finding that variables are non-stationary, we tried to convert it into stationary, for further process. Using the following formula, we found the daily return.

$$R_t = \frac{Ct - Ct - 1}{Ct - 1} X \ 100$$

Where R_t = Return of today C_t = Today's closing Price C_{t-1} = Yesterday's closing Price

It was converted into first difference using the following formula in eviews: Bankniftyreturn=Dlog (bank nifty)X100

In table no. 1(b) we can see that the probability value of all the banks is less than 0.005 and t value is higher than its critical value at 1% and 5%. We used log differences to check the stationarity.

Augm	Augmented Dickey-Fuller test								
S.No	Bank	t-Statistic	Prob.*						
1	BANKNIFFTY	-25.81846	0.000						
2	HDFCBANK	-29.3744	0.000						
3	AXISBANK	-27.41599	0.000						
4	FEDERALBANK	-27.25861	0.000						
5	ICICIBANK	-28.51818	0.000						
6	INDUSIND	-25.63898	0.000						
7	KOTAKBANK	-29.55805	0.000						
8	BANKOFBARODA	-27.01393	0.000						
9	PNB	-25.35343	0.000						
10	RBL	-23.97488	0.000						
11	SBIN	-26.88183	0.000						
12	YES	-27.32824	0.000						
	1% level	-3.438984							
	5% level	-2.86524							

Table. 1(b)

(2) Descriptive statistics:

In table no. 2, Kurtosis values of ICICI bank and RBL bank are more than 3, justifying leptokurtic, while kurtosis values of remaining variables are less than 3, which indicate platykurtic in nature. Jarque-Bera statistic measures the difference of skewness and kurtosis of the series with those from the normal distribution. Here probability value of Jarque –Bera, in case of bank nifty is less than 0.05 indicating normal distribution of series, while remaining variables' probability value is less than 0.05 which shows distribution is not normal of these series.

		BANKO										
		F		FEDER								
	BANK	BAROD		AL	HDFC		INDUS	КОТАК				
	NIFTY	Α	AXIS	BANK	BANK	ICICI	IND	BANK	PNB	RBL	SBIN	YES
Mean	26165.32	135.51	599.45	94.60	1866.87	341.86	1589.61	1194.02	106.93	512.24	289.94	248.67
Median	26154.75	136.70	559.40	91.45	1912.75	318.35	1576.60	1183.35	88.35	523.15	286.80	289.80
Maximum	32412.35	195.75	822.80	126.45	2495.00	549.40	2023.05	1729.50	213.60	701.45	372.40	394.00
Minimum	17891.00	87.25	445.00	65.75	1101.05	251.10	1087.45	695.60	56.45	251.70	233.20	32.00
Std. Dev.	3057.19	27.47	99.76	13.22	345.25	66.28	196.51	242.49	40.23	94.10	28.76	103.19
Skewness	-0.20	0.11	0.55	0.35	-0.44	1.09	0.21	0.18	0.61	-0.61	0.55	-0.70
Kurtosis	2.87	1.87	1.95	2.12	2.29	3.60	2.45	2.22	1.98	3.11	2.83	2.18
Jarque-Bera	5.28	41.00	71.47	38.96	39.55	156.44	14.63	22.80	77.62	45.97	37.62	81.66
Probability	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Observations	739	739	739	739	739	739	739	739	739	739	739	739

(3) VAR

It was conducted to find out optimum leg length and best fitted model to run Johansen Cointegration test. In table no.3, we can see so many criteria as Akaike Information Criteria (AIC), Schwarz Information Criteria (SIC) or Hannan-Quinn Information Criteria (HQC), for lag selection. But generally, we consider SIC or AIC for lag selection. In the below mentioned table, we can see that SC has the least value at 0 lag. But SC has the least value at 0 lag, so we are considering AIC for our research. We used the following process to find this VAR: select the return series—open as VAR---- go to view-----Lag structure---- Lag length criteria

P-ISSN: 2204-1990; E-ISSN: 1323-6903 DOI: 10.47750/cibg.2022.28.03.070

VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria Endogenous variables: RETBANKNIFTY RETBANKBARODA RETAXIS RETFEDERAL RETHDFC RETICICI RETINDUS RETKOTAK RETPNB RETRBL RETSBIN RETYES Exogenous variables: C

Sample: 1/02/2017 12/31/2019 Included observations: 730

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	-16778.85 -16632.06 -16538.11 -16461.99 -16363.37 -16279.46 -16195.26 -16103.37 -15984.72	NA 288.3559 181.4571 144.5312 183.9963 153.7862 151.5681 162.3832 205.7661*	154476.4 153306.2* 175888.1 211988.4 240386.9 284051.8 335741.3 389081.7 419675.6	46.00232 45.99467* 46.13181 46.31777 46.44210 46.60675 46.77057 46.91334 46.98279	46.07782* 46.97620 48.01936 49.11135 50.14171 51.21238 52.28223 53.32102 54.30650	46.02145* 46.86004 47.39555 47.86944 48.38363 48.89701 49.38932 49.80833

* indicates lag order selected by the criterion

LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5% level)

FPE: Final prediction error

AIC: Akaike information criterion

SC: Schwarz information criterion

(1) Johansen Cointegration test

It is conducted to examine whether Cointegration as well as long run relationships exist or not, among the variables. To conduct such a test, we need raw data of all the variables. As per below mentioned results in table no 4(A) of trace test, all the probabilities values are higher than 0.05, it is inferred that the Trace test statistic values denotes the acceptance of null hypothesis at 0.05 level, indicating no long run Cointegration exists among the variables. But if we see table no 4(b). the results of maximum Eigenvalue showing probability value 0.0015 at none which is lesser than 0.05, indicating there exists one Cointegration equation.

P-ISSN: 2204-1990; E-ISSN: 1323-6903 DOI: 10.47750/cibg.2022.28.03.070

Table. 4(A)

Trend assumption: Linear deterministic trend

Series: BANKNIFTY, AXIS, BANKOFBARODA, FEDERALBANK, HDFCBANK, ICICI, INDUS_IND, KOTAK_BANK, PNB, RBL, SBIN, YES

Lags interval (in first differences): 1 to 1

Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Trace)

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None	0.116241	307.0645	334.9837	0.3414
At most 1	0.059788	215.9927	285.1425	0.9730
At most 2	0.047320	170.5565	239.2354	0.9895
At most 3	0.045217	134.8295	197.3709	0.9909
At most 4	0.035138	100.7279	159.5297	0.9958
At most 5	0.028571	74.36564	125.6154	0.9957
At most 6	0.022789	53.00253	95.75366	0.9935
At most 7	0.020450	36.01235	69.81889	0.9863
At most 8	0.012660	20.78432	47.85613	0.9862
At most 9	0.007497	11.39471	29.79707	0.9509
At most 10	0.005765	5.848906	15.49471	0.7133
At most 11	0.002152	1.587527	3.841465	0.2077

Table 4(b)

Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Maximum Eigenvalue)

Hypothesized		Max-Eigen	0.05	
No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Statistic	Critical Value	Prob.**
None *	0.116241	91.07175	76.57843	0.0015
At most 1	0.059788	45.43627	70.53513	0.9606
At most 2	0.047320	35.72693	64.50472	0.9966
At most 3	0.045217	34.10162	58.43354	0.9769
At most 4	0.035138	26.36226	52.36261	0.9961
At most 5	0.028571	21.36311	46.23142	0.9971
At most 6	0.022789	16.99018	40.07757	0.9968
At most 7	0.020450	15.22803	33.87687	0.9717
At most 8	0.012660	9.389612	27.58434	0.9918
At most 9	0.007497	5.545800	21.13162	0.9903
At most 10	0.005765	4.261379	14.26460	0.8308

P-ISSN: 2204-1990; E-ISSN: 1323-6903 DOI: 10.47750/cibg.2022.28.03.070

	At most 11	0.002152	1.587527	3.841465	0.2077
NA	w aiganwalwa taati	ndiantas 1 Caintagnas	tionogn(a) at the 0.05	laval	

Max-eigenvalue test indicates 1 Cointegrationeqn(s) at the 0.05 level

To justify the result of cointegrated equation, indicated by max Eigenvalue test in table no. 5b, we checked the long-term relationship of bank nifty with other banks on an individual level. We can see this result in below mentioned table 4(c)

Table 4(c)

	and Axis Band Ax				Bank Nift Unrestricted Coir	•		igenvalue)	
Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**	Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None At most 1	0.012206 0.002123	9.465108 1.396146	15.49471 3.841466	0.3241 0.2374	None At most 1	0.012206 0.002123	8.068961 1.396146	14.26460 3.841466	0.3717 0.2374
•	and Bank on tegration Rank 1				Bank Nift Unrestricted Coi	•			
Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**	Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None At most 1	0.016188 0.001585	11.76459 1.041990	15.49471 3.841466	0.1686 0.3074	None At most 1	0.016188 0.001585	10.72260 1.041990	14.26460 3.841466	0.1687 0.3074
-	and HDFC ntegration Rank 1				Bank Nift Unrestricted Coin	-		Eigenvalue)	
Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**	Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None At most 1	0.008881 2.90E-05	5.880121 0.019026	15.49471 3.841466	0.7096 0.8902	None At most 1	0.008881 2.90E-05	5.861094 0.019026	14.26460 3.841466	0.6311 0.8902

	ntegration Rank	Test (Trace)			Unrestricted Coir	ntegration Rank	Test (Maximum	Eigenvalue)	
Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**	Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None At most 1	0.015256 0.000952	10.72619 0.625799	15.49471 3.841466	0.2289 0.4289	None At most 1	0.015256 0.000952	10.10039 0.625799	14.26460 3.841466	0.2054 0.4289
Bank Nifty Unrestricted Coi	and ICICI	Test (Trace)			Bank Nift Unrestricted Coir			Eigenvalue)	
Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**	Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None At most 1	0.012330 0.000208	8.288045 0.136640	15.49471 3.841466	0.4351 0.7116	None At most 1	0.012330 0.000208	8.151405 0.136640	14.26460 3.841466	0.3635 0.7116
Hypothesized	ntegration Rank ⁻	Trace	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**	Unrestricted Coir Hypothesized		Max-Eigen	0.05	
	Eigenvalue		0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**		Eigenvalue		· /	Prob.**
	0.013862	9.254267 0.083316	15.49471 3.841466	0.3424 0.7728	None	0.013862 0.000127	9.170951 0.083316	14.26460 3.841466	0.2722 0.7728
None At most 1	0.000127	0.000010			At most 1	0.000127	0.000010		
At most 1 Bank Nifty Unrestricted Coi		Bank Fest (Trace)	0.05		Bank Nift	y and Kot	t ak Bank Test (Maximum	• ,	
Atmost1 Bank Nifty	0.000127 and Kotak	Bank	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**	Bank Nift	y and Kot	ak Bank	Eigenvalue) 0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**

Inrestricted Coi	ntegration Rank	ank Test (Trace)			Bank Nift			m Eigenvalue)	
Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**	Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	0.05 Critical Val	ue Prob.*
None Atmost1	0.012260 0.001173	8.875689 0.771194	15.49471 3.841466	0.3770 0.3798	None At most 1	0.012260 0.001173	8.104495 0.771194		
	and RBL b				Bank Nift Unrestricted Coir	U C		igenvalue)	
Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**	Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None At most 1	0.008187 2.65E-06	5.402673 0.001743	15.49471 3.841466	0.7647 0.9641	None At most 1	0.008187 2.65E-06	5.400930 0.001743	14.26460 3.841466	0.6906 0.9641
Bank Nifty		Fest (Trace)			Bank Nift			igenvalue)	
Bank Nifty Inrestricted Coi Hypothesized	and SBIN ntegration Rank	Trace	0.05 Critical Value	Proh **				igenvalue) 0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
Bank Nifty Jnrestricted Coi Hypothesized No. of CE(s) None	and SBIN ntegration Rank Eigenvalue 0.013685	Trace Statistic 12.23393	Critical Value 15.49471	Prob.** 0.1461	Unrestricted Coir Hypothesized No. of CE(s) None	Eigenvalue	est (Maximum E Max-Eigen Statistic 9.053064	0.05 Critical Value 14.26460	0.2817
Bank Nifty Jnrestricted Coi Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	and SBIN ntegration Rank Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	Critical Value		Unrestricted Coir Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	tegration Rank T	est (Maximum E Max-Eigen Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	
Bank Nifty Inrestricted Coi Hypothesized No. of CE(s) None At most 1 Bank Nifty	and SBIN ntegration Rank Eigenvalue 0.013685	Trace Statistic 12.23393 3.180871	Critical Value 15.49471	0.1461	Unrestricted Coir Hypothesized No. of CE(s) None	tegration Rank T Eigenvalue 0.013685 0.004830 y and Yes	rest (Maximum E Max-Eigen Statistic 9.053064 3.180871 Bank	0.05 Critical Value 14.26460 3.841466	0.2817
Bank Nifty Inrestricted Coi Hypothesized No. of CE(s) None At most 1 Bank Nifty	and SBIN ntegration Rank T Eigenvalue 0.013685 0.004830 and Yes Ba	Trace Statistic 12.23393 3.180871	Critical Value 15.49471	0.1461	Unrestricted Coir Hypothesized No. of CE(s) None At most 1 Bank Nift	tegration Rank T Eigenvalue 0.013685 0.004830 y and Yes	rest (Maximum E Max-Eigen Statistic 9.053064 3.180871 Bank	0.05 Critical Value 14.26460 3.841466	0.2817

In the above-mentioned table, we can see all the p values are higher than 0.05 in both the tests whether it is trace test or max eigenvalue test. But, if we focus on bank nifty and SBIN, we can

see P value is around 0.07 in max Eigenvalue test, indicating a weaker long-term relationship between these two variables. If we talk about 10 %, it has a long-term relationship but at 5%, it is indicating a weaker relationship.

5. Granger Causality / Block Exogeneity Wald Tests:

Granger Causality is used to check the cause-and-effect relationship i.e., whether dependent variables affect independent variables or vice versa. In the Johansen Cointegration test we found that no long-term relationship exists among the variables, so we are checking the short term relationship of independent variables with dependent variables (Bank Nifty Index). Here as per the result, in the short run HDFC bank, ICICI bank, and Kotak bank have probability value lesser than 5%, so our null hypothesis is accepted and these banks are in leading position, indicating short term impact of these banks over bank nifty.

Sample: 1/02/2017 12/31/2019 Included observations: 736 Dependent variable: RETBANKNIFTY

Excluded	Chi-sq	df	Prob.
RETBANKBARODA	2.267884	2	0.3218
RETAXIS	0.555591	2	0.7575
RETFEDERAL	0.621702	2	0.7328
RETHDFC	86.76571	2	0.0000
RETICICI	12.09115	2	0.0024
RETINDUS	1.267148	2	0.5307
RETKOTAK	7.583524	2	0.0226
RETPNB	0.068542	2	0.9663
RETRBL	0.542859	2	0.7623
RETSBIN	2.200346	2	0.3328
RETYES	0.241314	2	0.8863
All	116.5196	22	0.0000

Normalized equation is estimated as below:

RETBANKNIFTY = 0.474359074431*RETBANKNIFTY(-1) 0.116390603642*RETKOTAK(-1-0.117454032363*RETURICICI(-1) - 0.115246298528*RETURNHDFC(-1)+ 0.0504359411025

P-ISSN: 2204-1990; E-ISSN: 1323-6903 DOI: 10.47750/cibg.2022.28.03.070

6. Impulse Diagnostic:

It was used to check the impact of one standard deviation shock on another variable. In above mentioned table no. 4(A), 4(b) and 4(C), there exists no long-term relationship among the variables. But Table No.5 indicates short term relationship among RETBANK Nifty, RETHDFC, RETICICI and RETKOTAK bank. So, we considered only these four variables in impulse diagnostic. Seven days' period has been taken to check the impulse response. In response of Ret Kotak to Ret bank nifty, we can see a one SD shock to RET KOTAK has noticeable impact on ret bank nifty on first and second day, it is going down sharply for first two days, after that it has minor impact, same thing we can see in case of ret ICICI to Ret Bank Nifty and Ret HDFC to Ret Bank Nifty.



Conclusion

Augmented Dickey Fuller test was used to check the stationarity of variables; results showed that all series were non-stationary at level (0) but when these were converted into first difference these became stationary. As per the Jarque –Bera in descriptive analysis, only bank nifty has normality distribution, while other banks have p value more than 0.05, indicating there is no normality in other variables series. VAR was used to find the optimum lag. SC has least value at 0 lag, so we considered AIC which has least value at 1st lag to carry out our research. We conducted a Johansen Cointegration test to check if a long-term relationship exists or not among the variables. The result of the Trace test accepted the null hypothesis because all p values were higher than 0.05, on the other side max Eigen test was rejecting the null hypothesis because of having p value 0.0015 lesser than 0.05. So, we checked the relationship of all the variables with bank nifty individually and found that only SBI had a long-term relationship with bank nifty. But this relationship was weaker at 5%, so we did not use VECM. The short run causality was examined by applying Granger test and they are in the leading position in the short term. HDFC bank, ICICIbank, and Kotak bank movements can notably influence the bank nifty in a short span of time.

Managerial Implication

The study in the current time holds good as the stock market, especially the banking sector, has seen high volatility in the share prices of the banks both in the public sector as well as the private sector. The bank index is referred to quickly get the essence of the whole banking shares. Hence the study on the relationship between the bank nifty index with public and private sector bank share prices is carried on. The results explain the relationship in respect to causality and cointegration for short run and long run respectively.

References

Ahmed, W. M. A. (2011). Munich Personal RePEc Archive Comovements and Causality of Sector Price Indices: Evidence from the Egyptian Stock Exchange Comovements and Causality of Sector Price Indices: Evidence from the Egyptian Stock Exchange.

Asaolu, T. O., &Ilo, B. M. (2012). The Nigerian Stock Market and Oil Price : A Cointegration Analysis.

Kuwait Chapter of Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review, *33*(834), 1–16. https://platform.almanhal.com/GoogleScholar/Details/?ID=2-37745

- Baranidharan, S. (n.d.).*IPE Journal of ManagEMEntT he Dynamic Long-run and Short-run Linkages between Exchange rates and bSE Sensex return IPE Journal of Management* (Vol. 6, Issue 2).
 Retrieved July 25, 2019, from https://search.proquest.com/openview/e9fdc44c710af4e26de10c7c881ee3a1/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2030552
- Bhunia, A. (2012). Association between Crude Price and Stock Indices: Empirical Evidence from Bombay Stock Exchange. In *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development www.iiste.org ISSN* (Vol. 3, Issue 3).Online. www.iiste.org
- Butt, S. Z., & Ur Rehman, B. (2011).ComovementBetween Emerging and Developed Stock Markets: An Investigation Through Cointegration Analysis. In *World Applied Sciences Journal* (Vol. 12, Issue 4). https://ro.uow.edu.au/buspapers/1560
- Engle R. F. (1982). Autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity with estimates of the variance of the United Kingdom inflation. *Econometrica*, 50(4), 987–1007
- Lodha, S. (2017).*A Cointegration and Causation Study of Gold Prices, Crude Oil Prices and Exchange Rates*.IUP Journal of Financial Risk Management. https://web.b.ebscohost.com/abstract?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jr nl=0972916X&AN=122924917&h=Rm%2BRMzA9zGm%2FyRoGWD%2BteNDEeIUAFO7Nqp6 FvjPfQk3QjDb8tgPBzVs4PBA6B5T67kwHuoGjGfeDo0soUvRLAA%3D%3D&crl=c&resultNs=A dminWebAuth&resultLo
- Mahadevan, S., &Sriram, M. (2015).Dynamic Linkages between BSE Sensex and Crude Oil Price-An Empirical Study in India.*International Journal of Information, Business and Management*, 7(4). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/286439669
- Noor, M. A., Motasim, M., Khan, A., Khan, M. A., &Asif Khan, M. (2014). Co-movement Analysis among different Sectors of Indian Stock Market: Co-movement Analysis among different Sectors of Indian Stock Market Co-movement Analysis among different Sectors of Indian Stock Market. *International Journal of Research (IJR)*, 1(4).
- Pramod Kumar, N., & Puja, P. (2012). *The impact of Macroeconomic Fundamentals on Stock Prices revisited: An Evidence from Indian Data*. https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/38980/

- Suresh Gopal, J. M. (n.d.). Causal Relationship between Gold, Crude Oil & amp; US Dollar Rates and S& P BSE 100 in India: An Experimental Study. Retrieved July 25, 2019, from https://s3.amazonaws.com/academia.edu.documents/58155379/195-04.pdf?response-contentdisposition=inline%3B filename%3DCausal_Relationship_between_Gold_Crude_O.pdf&X-Amz-Algorithm=AWS4-HMAC-SHA256&X-Amz-Credential=AKIAIWOWYYGZ2Y53UL3A%2F20190725%2Fus-eas
- Ta_sstan, H. T. (2005). Dynamic Interdependence and Volatility Transmission in Turkish and E urope an Equit y MarketsTaştan, Hüseyin Dynamic Interdependence and Volatility Transmission in Turkish and European Equity Markets. http://www.tek.org.tr
- Vardar, G., Tunc, G., &Aydogan, B. (n.d.). Long-Run and Short-Run Dynamics among the Sectoral Stock Indices: Evidence from Turkey. In *Asian Economic and Financial Review* (Vol. 2, Issue 2).

Yogesh Hole et al 2019 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1362 012121